Assumed Similarity: Theory and Research over Time

Andrew Beer

University of South Carolina Upstate







Trait Inferences: Sources of Validity at Zero Acquaintance

Peter Borkenau and Anette Liebler Universität Bielefeld Bielefeld, Germany

Consensus between self-ratings and stranger ratings of personality traits was investigated. A sample of 100 adults was videotaped while entering and walking through a room, sitting down, looking into the camera, and reading a standard text. The targets then provided self-descriptions on 5 personality factors. A sample of 24 strangers who had never seen the targets before was given l of 4 types of information on the targets: (a) sound-film, (b) silent film, (c) still, or (d) audiotape. Strangers rated various physical attributes and 20 traits of each target. Level of information influenced the validity but not the reliability of the stranger ratings, which were most valid for extraversion and conscientiousness. Extraversion covaried most strongly with physical attributes, and implicit theories on the covariation of traits with physical attributes were more accurate for extraversion and conscientiousness than for agreeableness, emotional stability, and culture.



















Correlations within Self-Other Ratings



Perceived Similarity

False Consensus

Self-Based Heuristic

What's in a name? That which we call a rose, By any other name...

Whence Assumed Similarity?

Informational Account	Motivational Account
 We use ourselves as default information when other information is less available 	 We want to see others as like (or unlike) ourselves

Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Traits	I(T): Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	M(T): Greater AS for traits that are more "important" to the perceiver
Re: People	I(P): Lower AS for more familiar others	M(P): Greater AS for more familiar others







Relation

AS

Accuracy

â







AS

á













Journal of Personality Assessment, 90(3), 250–260, 2008 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0022-3891 print / 1532-7752 online DOI: 10.1080/00223890701884970

Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

Personality Judgment at Zero Acquaintance: Agreement, Assumed Similarity, and Implicit Simplicity

ANDREW BEER¹ AND DAVID WATSON²

¹Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina Upstate ²Department of Psychology, University of Iowa

Previously unacquainted participants (N = 218) were assessed in small-group sessions in which they rated themselves and each other on (a) the Big Five (e.g., Costa & McCrae, 1992) and (b) an instrument assessing various traits not traditionally measured in the Big Five taxonomy as well as sociophitical attitudes. Replicating earlier research, we obtained a significant self-stranger correlation on Extraversion; in addition, we found significant agreement on ratings of thriftiness, athleticism, traditionalism, conservatism, and attractiveness. Assumed similarity correlations were substantial for Neuroticism, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness; furthermore, consistent with previous findings, there was a strong inverse relation between agreement and assumed similarity across the assessed characteristics. Finally, the correlations between Neuroticism, Openness, agreeableness, and Conscientiousness were significantly greater in the strangers' ratings than in the self-ratings, indicating that these peer judgments are less complex. We also compared our Big Five findings with those from previous samples of varying acquaintanceship; these analyses indicated that the strangers' ratings or Neuroticism and Agreeableness).







2008



2016



I(T): Is AS greater in traits that are harder to judge?





Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Traits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others Greater AS for more liked others



Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account	
			Evaluative
Re: Traits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver	Output Ou
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others Greater AS for more liked others	







I2: Is AS greater (or not) for people we know better? Dissertation: Traits across Escalating Information

8



0

I2: Is AS greater (or not) for people we know better? Informational Account Prediction



2008

2012

²⁰¹⁶



Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Traits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others



Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Traits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others















S - A

Routledge

2016































Thielmann, Hilbig, & Zettler, 2020

• New account: Values

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Thaits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others Greater AS for more liked others



Thielmann, Hilbig, & Zettler, 2020

- Major Findings:
 - Honesty/Humility & Openness to Experience show both higher AS and greater connection to personal values
 - Actual Similarity doesn't account for AS effects
 - Greater Familiarity = Greater AS
 - Priming Values = Greater AS in some cases









The Current Study



Personal Relevance as potentialDesignAS mechanism• Juc

Issue with previous operational definition of personal relevance

- Judges (N=206) rate one familiar and one unfamiliar other using HEXACO
- Use forced ranking measure of trait relevance/importance



Current Findings





Н

Е Х

А С

0





Current Findings







Current Findings

Correlations across Relevance 0.3 Correlation 0.2 Condition - Familiar ---- Unfamiliar 0.1 0.0 -2 6 Personal Relevance





Different Predictions

	Informational Account	Motivational Account
Re: Traits	Greater AS for traits with lower accuracy	Greater AS for traits that are more important to the perceiver
Re: Targets	Lower AS for more familiar others	Greater AS for more familiar others

What's Next?

- Further explore the value hypothesis
 - More facet- and item-level analyses
 - Code item content to disentangle values (morals/evaluativeness)







What's Next?

- Further explore the value hypothesis
 - More facet- and item-level analyses
 - Code item content to disentangle values (morals/evaluativeness)
- Reconcile with profile analyses
 - Longitudinal change in dyadic AS?
 - Motivational manipulations
- Explore real world implications
 - Relationships
 - Workplace



Acknowledgements

(in order of appearance)



Name	Affiliation	Contribution
David Watson	Notre Dame	Wisdom, guidance, funding
Liz McDade Montez	UC Merced	Co-author
Jeremy Biesanz	UBC	
Lauren Human	McGill	Critical consideration
Kate Rogers	Zillow	
Isabel Thielmann	Koblens Landau (GER)	Doing the best research on the topic
Chris Camillo	Idaho State	Data collection, project conceptualization
Becca Babineau	USC Upstate	Co-author
Hanna Smith	USC Upstate	Current coding
You	Toledo	Indulging me

